



145 Dennison Road
Essex, CT 06426
860/581-8554 FAX: 860/581-8543
www.rivercog.org

Chester, Clinton, Cromwell,
Deep River, Durham, East Haddam,
East Hampton, Essex, Haddam,
Killingworth, Lyme, Middlefield,
Middletown, Old Lyme,
Old Saybrook, Portland, Westbrook

**Lower Connecticut River Valley Council of Governments
and Lower Connecticut River Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization**

APPROVED MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING

Wednesday, December 28, 2016

RiverCOG Members:

Chester: Lauren Gister *
Clinton: Bruce Farmer *
Cromwell: Anthony Salvatore
Deep River: Angus McDonald, Jr. * (9:10)
Durham: Laura Francis *
East Haddam: Emmett Lyman *
East Hampton: Michael Maniscalco *
Essex: Norm Needleman
Haddam: Lizz Milardo
Killingworth: Cathy Iino *
Lyme: Ralph Eno *
Middlefield: Ed Bailey * (9:07)
Middletown: Daniel Drew
Old Lyme: Bonnie Reemsnyder *
Old Saybrook: Carl Fortuna *
Portland: Susan Bransfield
Westbrook: Noel Bishop *

MPO Members:

Middlesex Chamber of Commerce: Darlene Briggs
Estuary Transit District: Joe Comerford *
Middletown Area Transit: Andrew Chiaravallo *

Others Present:

Edgar Wynkoop, DOT

* Members Present

Staff Present:

Samuel Gold, AICP
Judy Snyder
Rob Haramut
Jon Curtis
Janice Ehle/Meyer
Dan Bourret

1. CALL TO ORDER, INTRODUCTIONS, PUBLIC SPEAKING

Chairman Bonnie Reemsnyder called the meeting to order at 9:04 a.m. at the at the RiverCOG offices, 145 Dennison Road, Essex, CT. Roll call was taken by Sam Gold, Executive Director and guests were introduced.

2. LCRVMPO BUSINESS

Resolution Regarding the Northeast Corridor Future Environmental Impact Statement (motion)

Bonnie Reemsnyder spoke of the Federal Railroad Administration's high speed railroad environment impact studt (EIS) called NEC Future and the bypass proposed from Old Saybrook, CT to Kenyon, RI, including a new crossing of the Connecticut River. Before the release of the report Ms. Reemsnyder had been receiving information from Congressman Joe Countney's office that the bypass was included in the report. She said that she did have an opportunity to speak with the FRA administrator Sarah Feinberg about the plan and Ms. Feinberg just did not understand why people were so upset about this. Ms. Reemsnyder is working with residents and RiverCOG assistance to review this report. There are deficiencies in report. In particular the proposed by-pass was not given the same attention in the scoping process as other areas of new construction such as the new railroad tunnes under the Hudson River. She also believe that the change from an aerial structure to a tunnel is a material and substantial change from the draft EIS to the final EIS now known as the FEIS. She believes that FRA should have released an amended DEIS with an additional public comment period for the addition of this tunnel. Ms. Reemsnyder said that her understanding is that the 30-day waiting period is within the 30 days of the release of the document. Comments will be received and considered by FRA, but responses to these comments are not mandatory. The comments will be before they issue their record of decision (ROD). The date of the documents is January 31, 2017 and January 22, 2017 is the drop dead date for our comments to be in.

Ms. Reemsnyder thanked Mr. Gold for attending the meetings.

Sam Gold stated that Ralph Eno he suggested that there be a reference to the letter sent in opposition during the public hearing period a year ago. Mr. Gold said the purpose of having this special meeting today is to get this on the record and to have a paper trail of objections and raising these issues of process during the 30 days since the release of the final EIS. He said the course to what we object to should be highlighted in the first "Whereas" and maybe even stronger language. He stated that possibly the State Attorney General could get involved and try to fight this legally. He said that no public meetings were held in the counties most affected by the final EIS. The public meetings were held in Hartford and New Haven which have no plans to construct new railroad lines. Mr. Gold said that at a public meeting last week in Old Lyme with Senator Blumenthal. Representatives from Rhode Island were present at the meeting and they were never informed either.

Bruce Farmer said to attach the letter and address the comments in new resolution. Sam Gold said that there are areas in the report that aerial structure is still mentioned and he thinks clarification is needed. He will highlight those areas. He thinks this needs to be accompanied with a cover letter that goes to the FRA and also tells the story of how we have objected to this before saying, you changed the project on us, never gave us another chance to comment, and there has never been a study on what a 4-mile tunnel would be like there. It is also unclear if the tunnel would be under the river or under the historic district. Issues that are not addressed in the report are what would be done in possible disasters, emergencies such as fires and accidents, and how would we deal with this. The environmental impacts, security, construction, and how would this effect the Amtrak service we currently have in Old Saybrook these questions are not addressed. Mr. Gold said that Hartford wanted a connection to Boston. Cathy Iino asked why that wasn't done. Bonnie Reemsnyder said that according to Sarah Feinberg the cost of eminent domain would be astronomical and would impact poor and middle class neighborhoods in Hartford.

Noel Bishop asked for copies to be sent to all the members when finalized. Cathy Iino asked about the last sentence is because of the remaining references to aerial structures. Sam Gold said there is a table that is shown in this section from Old Saybrook to Kenyon, Rhode Island and it says type of aerial structure and tunnel and trenches. The right-of-way they are talking about is I-95 which is reserved for future widening if necessary, so that's going to be an interstate highway before it is a train.

Carl Fortuna said at the meeting with the Federal Railroad Administration someone objected to an aerial structure then someone asked if a tunnel could be built. He stated that this is having a real impact on the people thinking about moving to this section of Old Lyme because of this issue.

Cathy Iino asked if other routes are being investigated or proposed. Sam Gold said the problem is they are picking the preferred alternative, but they did not do a comprehensive study of all the different routes in Connecticut. This is a Tier 1 EIS and it is really just information gathering. The gathered available information, the document and in the scope it is stated that they will do very limited site visits and then based on the available information they will make recommendations.

Upon motion of Ralph Eno, seconded by Laura Francis, it was unanimously voted to approve, with the amendments of language and conditions, the Resolution opposing the Northeast Corridor (NEC) Future Tier 1 Final Environmental Impact Study's recommendation of a new railroad bypass from Old Saybrook, CT to Kenyon, RI.

3. LCRVCOG BUSINESS

a. Appointment of 2017 RiverCOG Nominating Committee (motion)

Sam Gold asked for three volunteers to serve on the nominating committee for a slate of officers to be presented at the January meeting.

Bruce Farmer, Lauren Gister, and Laura Francis volunteered to serve as the nominating committee.

Upon motion of Cathy Iino, seconded by Ed Bailey, it was unanimously voted to appoint Bruce Farmer, Lauren Gister, and Laura Francis to serve as the nominating committee.

b. 2017 RiverCOG Legislative Agenda (motion)

Sam Gold said he had sent out a memo last week (attachment #2) to the members asking what issues they would like to have on the legislative agenda for the RiverCOG's January 18th meeting at the Capitol. He felt that one item should be to ask for funding not to be cut from the budget. He said that the position of the State's budgets situation means that it is likely to be cuts and maybe new taxes and fees imposed. He thought maybe we could ask for mandate reviews. The state is not giving us money, but maybe they could relieve some of the mandates that cost towns money.

Laura Francis asked what a big driver of municipal costs is. Ralph Eno suggested special education and said this would be a big driver. He said if there was a shift in the burden of proof it would help. He said he believes COST and CCM are on board with that. It doesn't cost any money but it would present opportunities for significant savings in a way special needs kids are placed. Bruce Farmer said the town of Clinton has a large special needs program and people will relocate to send their child this program.

Mr. Gold said he was talking to Tony Salvatore about the health district issue. Mr. Gold thought that the agenda should have something opposing top down regionalization. The issue here is about how Mr. Salvatore wanted a contract with a neighboring town to provide health district services. However *State Statutes* specifically would not allow him to do that instead a regional district has to be set up. Some of the problems with regional districts is there is no direct accountability. For example if the town of Berlin was contracted with Cromwell the health department and the town manager would be in charge and in Berlin's case it is a contractor. It's not clear who works for whom. Laura Francis stated that it can't be two towns, it has to be three or more. A member asked if there are statutory limitations that prevent two towns from sharing one building official, one sanitarian, or one health director. Michael Maniscalco stated that the issue is not related to statutory limitations but limitations based on collective bargaining. He suggested regionalism is non-subject of collective bargaining to be placed on agenda. Laura Francis stated that health districts should be added to the agenda, also.

Bonnie Reemsnyder said that the Commissioner of Public Health Paul Pino will be in Old Lyme on January 5th. Sam Gold mentioned that he and other members attended a town meeting in where Mr. Pino spoke. His argument is that there are health issues in some communities in the state predominately in the cities and poor communities and that needs to be addressed. Ralph Eno said it is also a weighted vote. Cathy Iino stated that there was no discussion. Mr. Gold said that Connecticut is a wealthy state and that more people have access to private health care.

Laura Francis said that election costs in her town are extremely high. Members agreed. Ms. Francis asked if the regional registrar could help us. Ralph Eno felt that every town probably needs two registrars of voters because the work load is overwhelming. The mandated training has increased the cost enormously over the past few years. Cathy Iino said that like school busing, if the technology could be used for voting people could get that data quickly and this would cut down on the expenses.

Sam Gold said that he had received a copy of a memo sent to the Connecticut Association of Community Transportation from DOT outlining major cuts being proposed such as a 50% cut to Shoreline East potentially and other cuts to the transit services which just burden the towns that contribute to these services and the people that use them. Joe Comerford said that he was lead to believe it would be a 10% cut, but when the documents were available to review it was a 50% cut for transit districts in 2018 and 100% cut in 2019. This would eliminate all state funding for transit districts. DOT reached out to our State Association and asked for support in this next legislative session. Mr. Comerford said this will affect our budget from the state funding by 80% to 85%. This will affect every transit district in the state, but it will only cut CT Transit by 30%. We think it is just a ploy since CT Transit is funded by the State of Connecticut. They probably think that the transit district has a little more pull and that's why we were asked for our help and support. They had a presentation of the draft bus study to show us and from what they say it looks like they will recommend consolidation of all districts to the state transit.

Sam Gold said that the GrowSMART economic strategy identified transportation as being a key factor for economic development because of the high cost housing and transit provides to work from your communities or to your communities. The Transit Districts also provide rides to people who can't or don't drive. Mr. Gold suggested that this issue be placed of the legislative agenda as protection of our public transportation. Mr. Comerford stated that there have already been cuts to social services and this would only be another cut.

Bonnie Reemsnyder asked Mr. Gold for a review of the topics that will be on the legislative agenda. Mr. Gold said the issues are: burden of proof for special education; collective bargaining if not subject to regionalization; coming out strong against the county health district proposal; find ways reduce election costs; poll workers; school busing; making a subscription service that could save school districts money; and some of the issues that members have been previously mentioned are: transfer taxes for land conservation and towns, support for state's waste to energy facilities, and a proposal that Joe DeLong spoke about at our last meeting and I've been working with Attorney Mednick on is language that would recognize COG boundaries as blocks of counties for federal funding. Bonnie Reemsnyder said she thought that strengthening the COGs and acknowledging them as a county analog is important. Mr. Gold stated that at the public health meeting he asked Paul Pino why the county boundaries are not COG boundaries. Mr. Pino said his proposal has county boundaries is because this is what the feds recognize. The feds collection data on counties and that's why we should do this on county boundaries and they do funding on county boundaries. Michael Maniscalco said that the state should stay out of our budgets. The property tax cut and the minimum budget requirements.

Upon motion of Michael Maniscalco, seconded by Lauren Gister, it was unanimously voted to approve the amended legislative agenda.

Sam Gold reminded the members to please reach out to their legislators and invite them to this meeting on January 18th in the State Capitol, Room 310. Noel Bishop asked if there is a way to get the legislators to attend the COG meetings. Mr. Gold stated that agendas are sent to them for every meeting.

c. RFP Results for 2017 HHW Vendor

Janice Ehle/Meyer stated that the 5-year contract with Clean Harbors has ended and a RFP had been advertised for a HHW vendor for the years of 2017-2021 and three responses were received. She said that she is looking for a three-year contract with an additional two-year option.

She said that for the last five years the towns have paid \$43.50 per household. One of the companies that responded was MXI a New Jersey company that would charge \$55 per household with a 200 household minimum per collection. Bonnie Reemsnyder said that MXI is not an option with a 200 household minimum and asked about the other two companies. Ms. Ehle/Meyer stated that Clean Ventures submitted a bid of \$48 per household with a minimum

cost per event of \$7,000. Clean Harbors bid was \$47 per household with an administration fee of \$550 per event and if not using Clean Harbors as the vendor a Paint Care administration fee of \$650 per event would be charged.

She said that in the RFP she had also asked for a price per container. She explained that a vehicle would come to the collection and the hazardous waste would be separated, sorted and placed in a container. At the end of the collection the number of containers would be counted and that would be the cost of the collection. Regardless if the container was full the cost would be charged to the towns (attachment #3). Ed Bailey asked how many collections were held last year. Mr. Ehle/Meyer said there were 13 including three satellite collections held in Clinton, Middletown and East Hampton. Ralph Eno felt that the per household system has worked well and he would prefer that method for determining the town's cost.

Laura Francis asked if a per car charge could be imposed at the collection. Ms. Ehle/Meyer did not think that could be done. Bonnie Reemsnyder said this program is an excellent example of what the COG towns can do together.

Noel Bishop asked if Ms. Ehle/Meyer was looking for a decision today. She stated that she would like to start conversations with Clean Harbors and discuss this at a future meeting. Sam Gold said that currently there are three satellite collections and asked if the members felt another satellite collection should be added in the summer. The members debated the additional cost. Ms. Ehle/Meyer stated that possibly the waiting lines could be configured differently for a faster travel flow.

4. CHAIRMAN'S AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORTS

Sam Gold said he has not received any information about next year's transportation planning grant from the state. They said that the formula would be the same but maybe the numbers are not. Mr. Gold explained to the members about the carryover. He said last summer he was contacted by Deputy Chief of Planning because of rescissions to the DOT budget that they did not have the full match to match our carryover grant from 2014, so this meant we had to come up with about \$5,000 extra in local funds to match the carryover.

Sam Gold reported that Susan Bransfield looking at the COG to help with the town planner duties in the town of Portland. Mr. Gold asked if other towns would be interested in town planning services in the future.

Sam Gold said he had a meeting with the landlord last week. The landlord had a realtor look at this property however the realtor wanted to list the property for sale instead of lease. Mr. Gold said that they did not talk about numbers yet, but the landlord wants to keep us as tenants. He is working on getting another realtor to do a market analysis and to tell us what market value is for this building. He said one of the problems we have is not being sure of our funding levels for

next year and signing a long term lease is difficult. Mr. Gold will be asking the landlord to approve, in writing, an extension to the January renewal deadline to the end of February. Laura Francis asked if he had pursued the possibility of surplus space in school building that may be available.

Laura Francis asked if any of the CIRMA towns were interested in a joint RFP. Looking at the new coverage documents she noticed that cyber security coverage has been added. She was wondering if we should all have some kinds of assessment done on all of our systems so we know where the vulnerabilities are.

5. OTHER BUSINESS

Jon Curtis thanked the members for completing the shared services survey.

Cathy Iino said a probate district meeting needs to be scheduled.

6. ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Noel Bishop, seconded by Emmett Lyman, it was unanimously voted to adjourn the meeting at 10:33 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Judith Snyder
Recording Secretary



145 Dennison Road
Essex, CT 06426
860/581-8554 FAX: 860/581-8543
www.rivercog.org

Chester, Clinton, Cromwell,
Deep River, Durham, East Haddam,
East Hampton, Essex, Haddam,
Killingworth, Lyme, Middlefield,
Middletown, Old Lyme,
Old Saybrook, Portland, Westbrook

MEMORANDUM 122316

From: Samuel Gold, AICP, Executive Director
To: River COG Board Members
Date: December 23, 2016
Re: **Draft 2017 River COG Legislative Agenda**

The upcoming legislative session will be challenging for municipalities, COGs, and the state. The state's growing budget deficits will need to be addressed by reductions in state services and funding, and most likely, new taxes and fees. As the state seeks to close the deficit, more responsibilities and costs may be transferred to municipalities. There may be additional top-down regionalism proposals, such as county health departments, that create new costly regional bureaucracies that will not save money. If there are problems with the state's ability to efficiently deliver or manage services and programs, the focus should be on fixing the problems, rather than creating new ones for municipalities. It is important that we stand up against these proposals, while supporting and pursuing regional efforts that make sense for towns and property taxpayers.

Mandate relief could be a negotiating tool and valuable consolation prize for towns that lose state aid. Below are unfunded mandates that have previously been featured on our legislative agenda. Your ideas should be included in our legislative agenda.

- DEEP MS4 Permits
- Raise Prevailing Wage Limits
- Firefighter Cancer Presumption Bill
- Property Tax Cap
- Probate Court Costs
- Minimum Budget Requirement

Finally, there are specific legislative issues that the General Assembly should consider for the benefit of the state's municipalities. Below are some issues that I have received from CEOs or were listed on last year's agenda. We will discuss additional items at Wednesday's meeting.

- Enable municipalities to elect to tax the transfer of property to raise funds for land conservation and related purposes.
- Support the state's waste to energy facilities.
- Recognize COG boundaries as counties for the purposes of federal funding and data collection.

HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE PROPOSALS
Lower Connecticut River Valley Council of Governments
DECEMBER 2016

RFP sent on December 2, 2016

3 bids received December 19, 2016 at 11:00 a.m.

1. MXI Environmental Services, LLC
\$55/HH – 200 HH minimum
Prices also stated per container

2. Clean Venture Inc.
\$48/HH – 100 car minimum
Minimum cost per event \$7,000
Prices also stated per container

3. Clean Harbors
\$47/HH – 80 HH minimum
Administration fee \$550/event
Prices also stated per container
If not using Clean Harbors for Paint Care- Administration fee is \$650/event

Clean Venture

Description/Type of Waste	Container Size/Unit		\$ CV/unit	
Acids	55 gal	3	\$ 300.00	\$ 900.00
Aerosols	55gal	26	\$ 210.00	\$ 5,460.00
	Cubic Yard Box	6	\$ 585.00	\$ 3,510.00
Alkaline Batteries	5 gal	3	\$ 85.00	\$ 255.00
	30 gal	0	\$ 125.00	\$ -
	55 gal	3	\$ 150.00	\$ 450.00
Ammonia	30 gal	1	\$ 225.00	\$ 225.00
	55 gal	4	\$ 300.00	\$ 1,200.00
Antifreeze	55 gal	0	\$ 145.00	\$ -
Asbestos	5 gal	0	\$ 95.00	\$ -
	55 gal	4	\$ 195.00	\$ 780.00
	Cubic Yard Box	1	\$ 375.00	\$ 375.00
Bulked Solvents	30 gal	2	\$ 185.00	\$ 370.00
	55 gal	3	\$ 215.00	\$ 645.00
Butane Refill	5 gal	2	\$ 75.00	\$ 150.00
Caustic Alkali Liquids (Bases)	55 gal	14	\$ 300.00	\$ 4,200.00
Flammable liquids	55 gal	66	\$ 200.00	\$ 13,200.00
Flares	5 gal	4	\$ 115.00	\$ 460.00
Flourescent bulbs	4 ft box	10	\$ 25.00	\$ 250.00
	8 ft box	7	\$ 50.00	\$ 350.00
Glycols	55 gal	6	\$ 145.00	\$ 870.00
Hydrofluoric Acid	5 gal	11	\$ 85.00	\$ 935.00
Inorganic Acids	5 gal	0	\$ 115.00	\$ -
	30 gal	3	\$ 250.00	\$ 750.00
	55 gal	6	\$ 300.00	\$ 1,800.00
Lead-Acid batteries	5 gal	5	\$ 65.00	\$ 325.00
	30 gal	2	\$ 135.00	\$ 270.00
Lithium batteries	5 gal	3	\$ 125.00	\$ 375.00
Mercury	5 gal	4	\$ 180.00	\$ 720.00
	16 gal	1	\$ 395.00	\$ 395.00
	30 gal	0	\$ 595.00	\$ -
Motor Oil	55 gal	8	\$ 125.00	\$ 1,000.00
NI-Cd batteries	5 gal	2	\$ 125.00	\$ 250.00
	16 gal	1	\$ 150.00	\$ 150.00
	30 gal	2	\$ 295.00	\$ 590.00
Organic Acids	5 gal	2	\$ 115.00	\$ 230.00
	30 gal	0	\$ 250.00	\$ -
	55 gal	6	\$ 300.00	\$ 1,800.00
Organic Peroxides	5 gal	9	\$ 125.00	\$ 1,125.00
	30 gal	0	\$ 325.00	\$ -
Oxidizing liquids	5 gal	12	\$ 125.00	\$ 1,500.00
	55 gal	0	\$ 300.00	\$ -

Oxidizing solids	5 gal	1	\$ 125.00	\$ 125.00
	30 gal	4	\$ 275.00	\$ 1,100.00
	55 gal	4	\$ 325.00	\$ 1,300.00
Packed Paint/Resins	55 gal	1	\$ 300.00	\$ 300.00
	Cubic Yard Box	30	\$ 895.00	\$ 26,850.00
PCB Ballasts	5 gal	4	\$ 225.00	\$ 900.00
Pesticides, Liquid	55 gal	70	\$ 385.00	\$ 26,950.00
Pesticides, Solid	55 gal	23	\$ 300.00	\$ 6,900.00
	Cubic Yard Box	2	\$ 1,250.00	\$ 2,500.00
Pressurized gas - oxygen	5 gal	4	\$ 95.00	\$ 380.00
Propane Tanks - one pound	5 gal	9	\$ 15.00	\$ 135.00
Motor Oil	55 gal	1	\$ 125.00	\$ 125.00
Misc. Bulbs/ CFLs	5 gal	1	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.65
	30 gal	3	\$ 1.65	\$ 4.95
	55 gal	6	\$ 1.65	\$ 9.90
Lab Pack Reactive	5 gal	0	\$ 175.00	\$ -
Lab Pack Incineration	5 gal	0	\$ 125.00	\$ -
Lab Pack Treatment	5 gal	0	\$ 125.00	\$ -

Per container total \$ 113,446.50

\$50/flex bin for paint care = 142 flex bins for latex and oil \$ 7,100.00

TOTAL \$ per container \$ 120,546.50

HOUSEHOLD PRICE

\$48.00/HH - 100 HH minimum \$113,808.00

\$7,000 min/event = Underage of \$ 2,848.00

\$50/flex bin for paint care= 142 flex bins for latex and oil \$7,100.00

TOTAL \$ per household \$123,756.00

MXI

			\$ CV/unit	Price
Description/Type of Waste	Container Size/Unit			
Acids	55 gal	3	\$ 300.00	\$ 900.00
Aerosols	55gal	26	\$ 210.00	\$ 5,460.00
	Cubic Yard Box	6	\$ 585.00	\$ 3,510.00
Alkaline Batteries	5 gal	3	\$ 85.00	\$ 255.00
	30 gal	0	\$ 125.00	\$ -
	55 gal	3	\$ 150.00	\$ 450.00
Ammonia	30 gal	1	\$ 225.00	\$ 225.00
	55 gal	4	\$ 300.00	\$ 1,200.00
Antifreeze	55 gal	0	\$ 145.00	\$ -
Asbetos	5 gal	0	\$ 95.00	\$ -
	55 gal	4	\$ 195.00	\$ 780.00
	Cubic Yard Box	1	\$ 375.00	\$ 375.00
Bulked Solvents	30 gal	2	\$ 185.00	\$ 370.00
	55 gal	3	\$ 215.00	\$ 645.00
Butane Refill	5 gal	2	\$ 75.00	\$ 150.00
Caustic Alkali Liquids (Bases)	55 gal	14	\$ 300.00	\$ 4,200.00
Flammable liquids	55 gal	66	\$ 200.00	\$ 13,200.00
Flares	5 gal	4	\$ 115.00	\$ 460.00
Flourescent bulbs	4 ft box	10	\$ 25.00	\$ 250.00
	8 ft box	7	\$ 50.00	\$ 350.00
Glycols	55 gal	6	\$ 145.00	\$ 870.00
Hydrofluoric Acid	5 gal	11	\$ 85.00	\$ 935.00
Inorganic Acids	5 gal	0	\$ 115.00	\$ -
	30 gal	3	\$ 250.00	\$ 750.00
	55 gal	6	\$ 300.00	\$ 1,800.00
Lead-Acid batteries	5 gal	5	\$ 65.00	\$ 325.00
	30 gal	2	\$ 135.00	\$ 270.00
Lithium batteries	5 gal	3	\$ 125.00	\$ 375.00
Mercury	5 gal	4	\$ 180.00	\$ 720.00
	16 gal	1	\$ 395.00	\$ 395.00
	30 gal	0	\$ 595.00	\$ -
Motor Oil	55 gal	8	\$ 125.00	\$ 1,000.00
NI-Cd batteries	5 gal	2	\$ 125.00	\$ 250.00
	16 gal	1	\$ 150.00	\$ 150.00
	30 gal	2	\$ 295.00	\$ 590.00
Organic Acids	5 gal	2	\$ 115.00	\$ 230.00
	30 gal	0	\$ 250.00	\$ -
	55 gal	6	\$ 300.00	\$ 1,800.00
Organic Peroxides	5 gal	9	\$ 125.00	\$ 1,125.00
	30 gal	0	\$ 325.00	\$ -
Oxidizing liquids	5 gal	12	\$ 125.00	\$ 1,500.00
	55 gal	0	\$ 300.00	\$ -
Oxidizing solids	5 gal	1	\$ 125.00	\$ 125.00

	30 gal	4	\$ 275.00	\$ 1,100.00
	55 gal	4	\$ 325.00	\$ 1,300.00
Packed Paint/Resins	55 gal	1	\$ 300.00	\$ 300.00
	Cubic Yard Box	30	\$ 895.00	\$ 26,850.00
PCB Ballasts	5 gal	4	\$ 225.00	\$ 900.00
Pesticides, Liquid	55 gal	70	\$ 385.00	\$ 26,950.00
Pesticides, Solid	55 gal	23	\$ 300.00	\$ 6,900.00
	Cubic Yard Box	2	\$ 1,250.00	\$ 2,500.00
Pressurized gas - oxygen	5 gal	4	\$ 95.00	\$ 380.00
Propane Tanks - one pound	5 gal	9	\$ 15.00	\$ 135.00
Motor Oil	55 gal	1	\$ 125.00	\$ 125.00
Misc. Bulbs/ CFLs	5 gal	1	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.65
	30 gal	3	\$ 1.65	\$ 4.95
	55 gal	6	\$ 1.65	\$ 9.90
Lab Pack Reactive	5 gal	0	\$ 175.00	\$ -
Lab Pack Incineration	5 gal	0	\$ 125.00	\$ -
Lab Pack Treatment	5 gal	0	\$ 125.00	\$ -

Per container total \$ 113,446.50

TOTAL \$ per container \$113,446.50

HOUSEHOLD PRICE

\$55.00/HH \$130,405.00

200 HH minimum Underage of 571 HH= \$31,405.00

TOTAL \$ per household \$160,810.00

Clean Harbors

Description/Type of Waste	Container Size/Unit	CH/unit		
Acids	55 gal	3	\$ 265.00	\$ 795.00
Aerosols	55gal	26	\$ 275.00	\$ 7,150.00
	Cubic Yard Box	6	\$ 750.00	\$ 4,500.00
Alkaline Batteries	5 gal	3	\$ 132.00	\$ 396.00
	30 gal	0	\$ 330.00	\$ -
	55 gal	3	\$ 440.00	\$ 1,320.00
Ammonia	30 gal	1	\$ 206.25	\$ 206.25
	55 gal	4	\$ 275.00	\$ 1,100.00
Antifreeze	55 gal	0	\$ 135.00	\$ -
Asbestos	5 gal	0	\$ 60.00	\$ -
	55 gal	4	\$ 186.00	\$ 744.00
	Cubic Yard Box	1	\$ 651.00	\$ 651.00
Bulked Solvents	30 gal	2	\$ 101.25	\$ 202.50
	55 gal	3	\$ 135.00	\$ 405.00
Butane Refill	5 gal	2	\$ 210.00	\$ 420.00
Caustic Alkali Liquids (Bases)	55 gal	14	\$ 265.00	\$ 3,710.00
Flammable liquids	55 gal	66	\$ 275.00	\$ 18,150.00
Flares	5 gal	4	\$ 125.00	\$ 500.00
Flourescent bulbs	4 ft box	10	\$ 1.30	\$ 950.00
	8 ft box	7	\$ 1.30	\$ 665.00
Glycols	55 gal	6	\$ 135.00	\$ 810.00
Hydrofluoric Acid	5 gal	11	\$ 82.00	\$ 902.00
Inorganic Acids	5 gal	0	\$ 82.00	\$ -
	30 gal	3	\$ 198.75	\$ 596.25
	55 gal	6	\$ 265.00	\$ 1,590.00
Lead-Acid batteries	5 gal	5	\$ 79.00	\$ 395.00
	30 gal	2	\$ 198.00	\$ 396.00
Lithium batteries	5 gal	3	\$ 3.50	\$ 450.00
Mercury	5 gal	4	\$ 475.00	\$ 1,900.00
	16 gal	1	\$ 950.00	\$ 950.00
	30 gal	0	\$ 1,187.00	\$ -
Motor Oil	55 gal	8	\$ 112.00	\$ 896.00
NI-Cd batteries	5 gal	2	\$ 135.00	\$ 270.00
	16 gal	1	\$ 270.00	\$ 270.00
	30 gal	2	\$ 337.00	\$ 674.00
Organic Acids	5 gal	2	\$ 82.00	\$ 164.00
	30 gal	0	\$ 206.25	\$ -
	55 gal	6	\$ 275.00	\$ 1,650.00
Organic Peroxides	5 gal	9	\$ 210.00	\$ 1,890.00
	30 gal	0	\$ 525.00	\$ -
Oxidizing liquids	5 gal	12	\$ 82.00	\$ 984.00
	55 gal	0	\$ 275.00	\$ -

Oxidizing solids	5 gal	1	\$ 82.00	\$ 82.00
	30 gal	4	\$ 206.25	\$ 825.00
	55 gal	4	\$ 275.00	\$ 1,100.00
Packed Paint/Resins	55 gal	1	\$ 219.00	\$ 219.00
	Cubic Yard Box	30	\$ 765.00	\$ 22,950.00
PCB Ballasts	5 gal	4	\$ 140.00	\$ 560.00
Pesticides, Liquid	55 gal	70	\$ 275.00	\$ 19,250.00
Pesticides, Solid	55 gal	23	\$ 275.00	\$ 6,325.00
	Cubic Yard Box	2	\$ 962.50	\$ 1,925.00
Pressurized gas - oxygen	5 gal	4	\$ 45.00	\$ 180.00
Propane Tanks - one pound	5 gal	9	\$ 17.00	\$ 153.00
Motor Oil	55 gal	1	\$ 112.00	\$ 112.00
Misc. Bulbs/ CFLs	5 gal	1	\$ 3.50	\$ 85.00
	30 gal	3	\$ 3.50	\$ 255.00
	55 gal	6	\$ 3.50	\$ 510.00
Lab Pack Reactive	5 gal	0	\$ 210.00	\$ -
Lab Pack Incineration	5 gal	0	\$ 82.00	\$ -
Lab Pack Treatment	5 gal	0	\$ 82.00	\$ -

Per container total \$ 111,183.00

Administrative Set/Up Fee/ collection - \$550.00 \$7,150.00

TOTAL \$ per container \$118,333.00

HOUSEHOLD PRICE

\$47.00/hh - 80 HH minimum \$111,437.00

Administrative Set/Up Fee/ collection \$550.00 \$7,150.00

TOTAL \$ per household \$118,587.00